United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ELECTRONIC

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/944,341	09/04/2001	Tsunco Sato	0649-0799P	9771
	7590 03/14/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747		RICHER, AARON M		
FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2628		
				•
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

03/14/2007

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 03/14/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

3 MONTHS

		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/944,341		SATO ET AL.		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Aaron M. Riche	er	2628		
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress	
WHICHEVER IS LOI - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If NO period for reply is specified by the Country of the Country	ATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL NGER, FROM THE MAILING D available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 in the mailing date of this communication. ecified above, the maximum statutory period et or extended period for reply will, by statute office later than three months after the mailing ment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS (136(a). In no event, ho will apply and will expi e, cause the application	COMMUNICATION owever, may a reply be time re SIX (6) MONTHS from the replacement of the second ABANDONE.	l. ely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠ This action is F 3)□ Since this appl	communication(s) filed on <u>18 C</u> FINAL. 2b) This ication is in condition for allowardance with the practice under the condition for all the conditions are conditions as a second condition for a second condition for a second condition for a second condition for a second condi	s action is non-fi ance except for f	ormal matters, pro		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s)6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>9-16</u> if 7) ☐ Claim(s)		awn from conside	·			
10) The drawing(s) Applicant may not replacement drawing	in is objected to by the Examine filed on is/are: a) according to the awing sheet(s) including the correct claration is objected to by the Examine.	cepted or b) cepted if	ld in abeyance. See the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C		
Priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cit	red (PTO-892)	۵۱ ر	Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
· <u></u>	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tatement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) [Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te	•	

Application/Control Number: 09/944,341 Page 2

Art Unit: 2628

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed October 18, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. As to claims 9-16, applicant argues that the "augment sample points" of Bhattacharjya do not read on "characteristic points" as claimed. Examiner notes that "characteristic points" are defined by the claim to be "determined to be impossible to be interpolated". In this case, Bhattacharjya discloses points that are either impossible to be interpolated, or at the very least impossible to be linearly interpolated (note that col. 10, lines 29-46 point out the poor approximation that would result if linear interpolation were used). Bhattacharjya therefore discloses sampling points that are in some way "impossible to be interpolated" and meets the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 9-12, and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bhattacharjya (U.S. Patent 5,809,213).
- 3. As to claim 9, Bhattacharjya discloses:

Application/Control Number: 09/944,341

Art Unit: 2628

a lookup table which is composed of characteristic points which are points indicating the relationship between supplied image data and output image data (col. 5, lines 43-50; a lookup table is generated from "augmented sample points" which correspond to "characteristic points") which are determined to be impossible to be interpolated when a process for converting image data is performed (fig. 2a, col. 10, lines 40-63; points are sampled because many points are impossible to interpolate; similarly some points are non-linearly interpolated because they are impossible to linearly interpolate);

and image data converting means for converting supplied image data by using said lookup table composed of the characteristic points into output image data (col. 5, lines 43-50; a linear interpolation function is used to convert the table value to a calibration values for a color reproduction system).

- 4. As to claim 10, Bhattacharjya discloses an apparatus further comprising table development means for developing said lookup table into the multidimensional lookup table; wherein said image data converting means uses the multidimensional lookup table developed by said table development means to convert supplied image data into output image data (col. 15, lines 34-38).
- 5. As to claim 11, Bhattacharjya discloses an apparatus wherein said table development means develops said lookup table into said multidimensional lookup table in such a manner that all of characteristic points of said lookup table composed of the characteristic points are contained (col. 5, lines 43-50; a lookup table is generated from "augmented sample points" which correspond to "characteristic points").

Application/Control Number: 09/944,341 Page 4

Art Unit: 2628

6. As to claim 12, Bhattacharjya discloses an apparatus wherein said table development means develops said lookup table into the multidimensional lookup table such that data corresponding to grid points of said multidimensional lookup table is composed of output data of said lookup table and data of information of adjacent grid points for interpolating a portion between grid points (col. 15, lines 34-38; the 3-D lookup table is formed from the interpolation method described earlier, which involves finding points between lookup table points and adjacent grid points from the lookup table).

7. As to claim 14, Bhattacharjya discloses an apparatus further comprising:
table recording means for recording said multidimensional lookup table
developed by said table development means in a memory (col. 15, lines 34-38; col. 8, lines 53-67);

and updating means for operating said table development means and said table recording means when said lookup table composed of the characteristic points has been updated to update said multidimensional lookup table and rewrite the updated multidimensional lookup table on said memory, wherein said image data converting means uses said multidimensional lookup table recorded in said memory to convert supplied image data into output image data (col. 10, lines 19-64; after first sample points are taken, additional sample points are added, the set of both corresponding to the "augmented" set of sample points).

8. As to claims 15 and 16, see the combined rejections of claims 9 and 10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bhattachariya (U.S. Patent 5,809,213).
- 11. As to claim 13, Bhattacharjya discloses an apparatus wherein said image data converting means uses obtained multidimensional lookup table to convert supplied image data into output image data (see rejection to claim 10).

Bhattacharjya does not disclose that said multidimensional lookup table is a compressed multidimensional lookup table formed by compressing said multidimensional lookup table; nor does Bhattacharjya disclose restoring means provided which restores said compressed multidimensional lookup table into said multidimensional lookup table. However, Official notice has been taken of the fact that compressing and restoring a color table is well-known in the art (see MPEP 2144.03). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Bhattacharjya to compress and restore a color table in order to conserve space in memory.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron M. Richer whose telephone number is (571) 272-7790. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 8:30AM-5:00PM.

Art Unit: 2628

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kee Tung can be reached on (571) 272-7794. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AMR 3/7/07

KEE M. TUNG 'SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER